

ON KNOTS WITH INFINITE SMOOTH CONCORDANCE ORDER

ADAM SIMON LEVINE

ABSTRACT. We use the Heegaard Floer obstructions defined by Grigsby, Ruberman, and Strle to show that forty-six of the sixty-seven knots through eleven crossings whose concordance orders were previously unknown have infinite concordance order.

Let K be an oriented knot in S^3 . If K bounds a smoothly embedded disk in D^4 , we say that K is *(smoothly) slice*. Two knots K, K' are said to be *(smoothly) concordant* if $K \# \overline{K'}$ is slice, where $\overline{K'}$ denotes the mirror of K' . The set of concordance classes of knots forms a group $\mathcal{C}_1$ under the connect sum operation with identity the unknot. The *concordance order* of a knot K is the order of K in $\mathcal{C}_1$. The structure of the torsion in $\mathcal{C}_1$ is of considerable interest; see, for instance, Livingston-Naik [6, 7] and Jabuka-Naik [4].

Let $Y_K = \Sigma_2(K)$ be the double branched cover of K , and let $\tilde{K}$ be the inverse image of K in Y . Grigsby, Ruberman, and Strle [3] defined numerical invariants $\mathcal{D}_n(K)$ and $\mathcal{T}_n(K)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) coming from the Heegaard Floer homology of Y_K and the knot Floer homology of $\tilde{K}$. They proved:

Theorem 1. *Let K be a knot in S^3 . Let p be prime, and suppose that p^m is the largest power of p that divides $\det(K)$. If K has finite concordance order, then for each integer $0 \leq e \leq \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{p^e}(K) = \mathcal{T}_{p^e}(K) = 0$.*

In practice, we are usually interested in $\mathcal{D}_p(K)$ and $\mathcal{T}_p(K)$, where p is 1 or a prime that divides $\det(K)$, so we restrict our discussion to this case.

According to Livingston's database *KnotInfo* [2], the smooth concordance orders of sixty-seven knots with up to eleven crossings, listed in Table 1, were previously unknown. We show here that forty-six of these knots, listed in Tables 2 and 3, have at least one nonzero $\mathcal{D}_p$ invariant and hence have infinite concordance order. For the remaining knots, all of the relevant $\mathcal{D}_p$ invariants vanish, so the concordance orders of these knots remains unknown. The $\mathcal{T}_p$ invariants for several of these knots

9 ₃₀	9 ₃₃	9 ₄₄	10 ₅₈	10 ₆₀	10 ₉₁	10 ₁₀₂	10 ₁₁₉
10 ₁₃₅	10 ₁₅₈	10 ₁₆₄	11 _{a₄}	11 _{a₅}	11 _{a₈}	11 _{a₁₁}	11 _{a₂₄}
11 _{a₂₆}	11 _{a₃₀}	11 _{a₃₈}	11 _{a₄₄}	11 _{a₄₇}	11 _{a₅₂}	11 _{a₅₆}	11 _{a₆₇}
11 _{a₇₂}	11 _{a₇₆}	11 _{a₈₀}	11 _{a₈₈}	11 _{a₉₈}	11 _{a₁₀₄}	11 _{a₁₀₉}	11 _{a₁₁₂}
11 _{a₁₂₆}	11 _{a₁₃₅}	11 _{a₁₆₀}	11 _{a₁₆₇}	11 _{a₁₆₈}	11 _{a₁₇₀}	11 _{a₁₈₇}	11 _{a₁₈₉}
11 _{a₂₃₃}	11 _{a₂₄₉}	11 _{a₂₅₇}	11 _{a₂₆₅}	11 _{a₂₇₀}	11 _{a₂₇₂}	11 _{a₂₈₇}	11 _{a₂₈₈}
11 _{a₂₈₉}	11 _{a₃₀₀}	11 _{a₃₀₃}	11 _{a₃₁₅}	11 _{a₃₅₀}	11 _{n₁₂}	11 _{n₃₄}	11 _{n₄₅}
11 _{n₄₈}	11 _{n₅₃}	11 _{n₅₅}	11 _{n₈₅}	11 _{n₁₀₀}	11 _{n₁₁₀}	11 _{n₁₁₄}	11 _{n₁₃₀}
11 _{n₁₄₅}	11 _{n₁₅₇}	11 _{n₁₆₅}					

TABLE 1. Knots through eleven crossings with unknown concordance order.

can be obtained using the author's computations of $\widehat{\text{HFK}}(Y_K, \tilde{K})$ [5], but we do not obtain any new concordance information in this manner.

For the remainder of this paper, we describe the techniques used to compute the $\mathcal{D}_p$ and $\mathcal{T}_p$ invariants for the knots considered here.

Let us briefly recall the definition of these invariants in the case where $H^2(Y_K; \mathbb{Z})$ is cyclic. (For the general case, see [3, Definition 4.1].) Let $\mathfrak{s}_0 \in \text{Spin}^c(Y_K)$ be the so-called *canonical spin^c structure* on Y_K , uniquely characterized by the property that $c_1(\mathfrak{s}_0) = 0$. Recall that $\text{Spin}^c(Y_K)$ is an affine space for $H^2(Y_K; \mathbb{Z})$, so we may identify $\text{Spin}^c(Y_K)$ with $H^2(Y_K; \mathbb{Z})$ via the identification $\mathfrak{s} \mapsto c_1(\mathfrak{s})$. Let G_m be the unique order- p subgroup of $H^2(Y_K; \mathbb{Z})$. The invariants $\mathcal{D}_p(K)$ and $\mathcal{T}_p(K)$ are then defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_p(K) = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{s}_0 + G_p} d(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$$

$$\mathcal{T}_p(K) = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{s}_0 + G_p} \tau(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s}).$$

Here $d(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$ is the correction term for $\text{HF}^+(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$, and $\tau(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$ is the τ -invariant for $\widehat{\text{HFK}}(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$. (See Ozsváth-Szabó [8, 11] for the definitions of d and τ .)

In many cases, the results of Ozsváth and Szabó [9, 12, 13] may be used to compute the correction terms $d(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ combinatorially. Given a projection of K , let G be its Goeritz matrix (defined in [12, section 3]). Let $|G|$ denote the rank of G . The double cover Y_K bounds a 4-manifold X_G whose intersection form on H_2 , $Q = Q_{X_G}$, is given by G (with respect to a basis of spheres). Let $\text{Char}(G) \subset H^2(X_G; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of characteristic vectors for Q , i.e., vectors $\alpha \in H^2(X_G; \mathbb{Z})$ such that

Knot K	$\det(K)$	Nonzero GRS invariants
9_{30}	53	$\mathcal{D}_{53} = 4$
9_{33}	61	$\mathcal{D}_{61} = 4$
10_{58}	65	$\mathcal{D}_{13} = 4$
10_{60}	85	$\mathcal{D}_{17} = 4$
10_{102}	73	$\mathcal{D}_{73} = -12$
10_{119}	101	$\mathcal{D}_{101} = -16$
$11a_4$	97	$\mathcal{D}_{97} = -24$
$11a_8$	117	$\mathcal{D}_{13} = -4$
$11a_{11}$	113	$\mathcal{D}_{113} = 12$
$11a_{24}$	157	$\mathcal{D}_{157} = 12$
$11a_{26}$	157	$\mathcal{D}_{157} = 12$
$11a_{30}$	149	$\mathcal{D}_{149} = 12$
$11a_{52}$	137	$\mathcal{D}_{137} = 16$
$11a_{56}$	109	$\mathcal{D}_{109} = -8$
$11a_{67}$	125	$\mathcal{D}_{25} = -4$
$11a_{76}$	145	$\mathcal{D}_{29} = -4$
$11a_{80}$	137	$\mathcal{D}_{137} = -12$
$11a_{88}$	101	$\mathcal{D}_{101} = -8$
$11a_{126}$	145	$\mathcal{D}_5 = 4, \mathcal{D}_{29} = 4$
$11a_{160}$	145	$\mathcal{D}_{29} = -4$
$11a_{167}$	113	$\mathcal{D}_{113} = 12$
$11a_{170}$	185	$\mathcal{D}_{37} = -4$
$11a_{189}$	149	$\mathcal{D}_{149} = -12$
$11a_{233}$	173	$\mathcal{D}_{101} = 16$
$11a_{249}$	117	$\mathcal{D}_{13} = -4$
$11a_{257}$	97	$\mathcal{D}_{97} = -8$
$11a_{265}$	109	$\mathcal{D}_{109} = 24$
$11a_{270}$	137	$\mathcal{D}_{137} = 12$
$11a_{272}$	149	$\mathcal{D}_{149} = 12$
$11a_{287}$	181	$\mathcal{D}_{181} = -12$
$11a_{288}$	205	$\mathcal{D}_5 = 4, \mathcal{D}_{41} = 4$
$11a_{289}$	145	$\mathcal{D}_{29} = 4$
$11a_{300}$	153	$\mathcal{D}_{17} = -4$
$11a_{303}$	149	$\mathcal{D}_{149} = 36$
$11a_{315}$	157	$\mathcal{D}_{157} = 12$
$11a_{350}$	185	$\mathcal{D}_5 = 4, \mathcal{D}_{37} = 4$

TABLE 2. Alternating knots with non-vanishing Grigsby-Ruberman-Strle $\mathcal{D}_p$ invariants.

Knot K	$\det(K)$	Nonzero GRS invariants
9_{44}	17	$\mathcal{D}_{17} = 4$
10_{135}	135	$\mathcal{D}_{37} = 4$
$11n_{12}$	13	$\mathcal{D}_{13} = -8$ ¹
$11n_{48}$	29	$\mathcal{D}_{29} = -8$
$11n_{53}$	37	$\mathcal{D}_{37} = -8$
$11n_{55}$	61	$\mathcal{D}_{61} = 12$
$11n_{110}$	41	$\mathcal{D}_{41} = -12$
$11n_{114}$	53	$\mathcal{D}_{53} = -4$
$11n_{130}$	53	$\mathcal{D}_{53} = 12$
$11n_{165}$	85	$\mathcal{D}_{17} = -4$

TABLE 3. Non-alternating knots with non-vanishing Grigsby-Ruberman-Strle $\mathcal{D}_p$ invariants.

$\langle \alpha, v \rangle \equiv Q(v, v) \pmod{2}$ for every $v \in H_2(X_G; \mathbb{Z})$. The restriction map $i^*: H^2(X_G) \rightarrow H^2(Y_K)$ partitions $\text{Char}(G)$ into equivalence classes $\text{Char}(G, \mathfrak{s})$ corresponding to the spin^c structures on Y_K . Given certain hypotheses on G , including that G is negative-definite, Ozsváth and Szabó [9, Corollary 1.5] proved that the correction terms for $\text{HFK}^+(Y_K)$ are given by the formula

$$(1) \quad d(Y_K, \mathfrak{s}) = \max_{\alpha \in \text{Char}(G, \mathfrak{s})} \frac{\alpha^2 + |G|}{4}.$$

Ozsváth and Szabó provide an algorithm for finding the vectors in each equivalence class that realize this maximum. Moreover, since $\mathbb{H}^2(Y_K; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{coker}(G)$, we may easily identify the group structure on $\text{Spin}^c(Y_K)$ (specifically, which spin^c structures are in the special subgroup G_p) using the Smith normal form for G .

As shown in [12], Equation 1 holds whenever G is computed from an alternating projection. More generally, if K admits a projection that is alternating except in a region that consists of left-handed twists, Ozsváth and Szabó [13] show how to use Kirby calculus on X_G to obtain a matrix $\tilde{G}$ for Q that satisfies the correct hypotheses. (See also Jabuka-Naik [4] for a concise explanation.) All of the non-alternating knots in Table 1 satisfy this hypothesis, so we may compute the $\mathcal{D}_p$ invariants as described above.

Finally, to compute the $\mathcal{T}_p$ invariants of a knot, one must compute the integers $\tau(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$ associated to the spectral sequence from

¹That $K = 11n_{12}$ has infinite concordance order also follows from the simpler fact that $\tau(S^3, K) = 1$, as was computed by Baldwin and Gillam [1].

$\widehat{\mathrm{HFK}}(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$ to $\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$. When $\widehat{\mathrm{HFK}}(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$ are sufficiently simple, one can sometimes determine τ without knowing all the differentials in the spectral sequence. For instance, if $\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$ has rank 1 and $\widehat{\mathrm{HFK}}(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$ is supported on a single diagonal, $\tau(Y_K, \tilde{K}, \mathfrak{s})$ is equal to the Alexander grading of the nonzero group in Maslov grading $d(Y_K, \mathfrak{s})$. The author [5] has shown how to compute $\mathrm{HFK}(Y_K, \tilde{K})$ (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/2$) for any knot K using grid diagrams and has computed the values of τ for several of the non-alternating knots considered here (9_{44} , 10_{135} , 10_{158} , 10_{164} , $11n_{100}$, and $11n_{145}$). However, the $\mathcal{T}_p$ invariants all vanish in these cases, so we do not obtain any new concordance information.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Baldwin and W. D. Gillam, *Computations of Heegaard Floer knot homology*, preprint, math/0610167.
- [2] J. C. Cha and C. Livingston, *KnotInfo: an online table of knot invariants*, www.indiana.edu/~knotinfo.
- [3] J. E. Grigsby, D. Ruberman, and S. Strle, *Knot concordance and Heegaard Floer homology invariants in branched covers*, preprint, math/0701460.
- [4] S. Jabuka and S. Naik, *Order in the concordance group and Heegaard Floer homology*, *Geom. Topol.* **11** (2007), 979–994.
- [5] A. S. Levine, *Computing knot Floer homology in branched double covers*, preprint to appear in *Alg. Geom. Topol.*, math/0709.1427.
- [6] C. Livingston and S. Naik, *Obstructing four-torsion in the classical knot concordance group*, *J. Diff. Geom.* **51** (1999), 1–12.
- [7] C. Livingston and S. Naik, *Knot concordance and torsion*, *Asian J. Math.* **5** (2001), 161–168.
- [8] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary*, *Adv. Math.* **173** (2003) 179–261.
- [9] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On the Floer homology of plumbed three-manifolds*, *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003), 185–224.
- [10] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Heegaard Floer homology and alternating knots*, *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003), 225–254.
- [11] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic disks and knot invariants*, *Adv. Math.* **186** (2004), 58–116.
- [12] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *On the Heegaard Floer homology of branched double-covers*, *Adv. Math.* **194** (2005), 1–33.
- [13] P. S. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Knots with unknotting number one and Heegaard Floer homology*, *Topol.* **44** (2005), 705–745.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027

E-mail address: alevine@math.columbia.edu